

Introduction à l'Informatique Quantique

Simon Perdrix

Inria, Mocqua/Loria

ROQ @ Montpellier – 2 Novembre 2021



Why a "quantum" processing of information?



Some problems can be solved much more efficiently using quantum computers

- Factorisation [Shor'94]
- Search [Grover'96]
- Backtracking [Montanaro'15]

Why a "quantum" processing of information?

Some problems can be solved much more efficiently using quantum computers



- Factorisation [Shor'94]
- Search [Grover'96]
- Backtracking [Montanaro'15]



Why a "quantum" processing of information?

Some problems can be solved much more efficiently using quantum computers

- Factorisation [Shor'94]
- Search [Grover'96]
- Backtracking [Montanaro'15]



Main challenges:

- size of the memory (#qubits)
- quality of the qubits.

Towards Fault-Tolerant QC

- Quantum error correcting codes
- Threshold Theorem: correcting errors faster than they are created.



Physics: improve quality of
the quantum memory

CS: develop codes
with smaller threshold

Towards Fault-Tolerant QC

- Quantum error correcting codes
- Threshold Theorem: correcting errors faster than they are created.









Physics: improve quality of
the quantum memory

CS: develop codes
with smaller threshold

- *when they meet*: Large Scale Quantum computer (**LSQ**)
- *now*: Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum devices (**NISQ**)







Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices



HPC simulation?


(up to ~50 high quality qubits.)

Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices









HPC simulation?

(up to ~50 high quality qubits.)




Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices









HPC simulation?

(up to ~50 high quality qubits.)




Quantum supremacy:
classical/quantum separation

Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices



HPC simulation?

(up to ~50 high quality qubits.)



Quantum supremacy:
classical/quantum separation

Quantum usefulness: beating
classical computers in practice.



Why a "quantum" processing of information?

Some problems can be solved much more efficiently using quantum computers

- Factorisation [Shor'94]
- Search [Grover'96]
- Backtracking [Montanaro'15]

Quantum Cryptography: unconditionally secured communications

- Quantum key distribution [BB84]



Outline

Postulates

Quantum Circuits

1st Algo: Detecting fake coins with a quantum scale


2nd Algo: Deutsch-Jozsa

Postulate 1: Quantum states

- Classical bit: $b \in \{0, 1\}$
- Quantum bit (**qubit**): $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$,

$$|\varphi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$$

with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$



Examples:

$$|0\rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$$

Register of qubits

Definition. The state of a n -qubit register is a unit vector of \mathbb{C}^{2^n} .

$$|\varphi\rangle = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle \text{ with } \|\varphi\|^2 = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |\alpha_x|^2 = 1$$

Examples:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle - |01\rangle)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|00\rangle + i|01\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle)$$

Postulate 2: composed system

Definition. Let $|\varphi_1\rangle$ be a n -qubit state and $|\varphi_2\rangle$ be a m -qubit state, the $(n+m)$ -qubit state of the composed system is

$$|\varphi\rangle = |\varphi_1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_2\rangle$$

where $\cdot \otimes \cdot$ is bilinear and $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n, \forall y \in \{0, 1\}^m, |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = |xy\rangle$.

Examples:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad |0\rangle \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle - |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle - |01\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{|01\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = ? \otimes ?$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = ? \otimes ?$$

Postulate 2: composed system

Definition. Let $|\varphi_1\rangle$ be a n -qubit state and $|\varphi_2\rangle$ be a m -qubit state, the $(n+m)$ -qubit state of the composed system is

$$|\varphi\rangle = |\varphi_1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_2\rangle$$

where $\cdot \otimes \cdot$ is bilinear and $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n, \forall y \in \{0, 1\}^m, |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = |xy\rangle$.

Examples:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad |0\rangle \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle - |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle - |01\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{|01\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |1\rangle$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = ? \otimes ?$$

Postulate 2: composed system

Definition. Let $|\varphi_1\rangle$ be a n -qubit state and $|\varphi_2\rangle$ be a m -qubit state, the $(n+m)$ -qubit state of the composed system is

$$|\varphi\rangle = |\varphi_1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_2\rangle$$

where $\cdot \otimes \cdot$ is bilinear and $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n, \forall y \in \{0, 1\}^m, |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = |xy\rangle$.

Examples:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad |0\rangle \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle - |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle - |01\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{|01\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |1\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}\textcircled{3} \quad \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes (c|0\rangle + d|1\rangle) \\ &= ac|00\rangle + ad|01\rangle + bc|10\rangle + bd|11\rangle \\ &\implies ad = 0 \implies ac = 0 \text{ or } bd = 0 \text{ impossible}\end{aligned}$$

Postulate 2: composed system

Definition. Let $|\varphi_1\rangle$ be a n -qubit state and $|\varphi_2\rangle$ be a m -qubit state, the $(n+m)$ -qubit state of the composed system is

$$|\varphi\rangle = |\varphi_1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_2\rangle$$

where $\cdot \otimes \cdot$ is bilinear and $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n, \forall y \in \{0, 1\}^m, |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = |xy\rangle$.

Examples:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad |0\rangle \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle - |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle - |01\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{|01\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |1\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{3} \quad \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &\neq (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes (c|0\rangle + d|1\rangle) \\ &= ac|00\rangle + ad|01\rangle + bc|10\rangle + bd|11\rangle \\ &\implies ad = 0 \implies ac = 0 \text{ or } bd = 0 \text{ impossible} \end{aligned}$$

Postulate 2: composed system

Definition. Let $|\varphi_1\rangle$ be a n -qubit state and $|\varphi_2\rangle$ be a m -qubit state, the $(n+m)$ -qubit state of the composed system is

$$|\varphi\rangle = |\varphi_1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_2\rangle$$

where $\cdot \otimes \cdot$ is bilinear and $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n, \forall y \in \{0, 1\}^m, |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = |xy\rangle$.

Examples:


$$\textcircled{1} \quad |0\rangle \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle - |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle - |01\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \frac{|01\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |1\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{3} \quad \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &\neq (a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes (c|0\rangle + d|1\rangle) \\ &= ac|00\rangle + ad|01\rangle + bc|10\rangle + bd|11\rangle \\ &\implies ad = 0 \implies ac = 0 \text{ or } bd = 0 \text{ impossible} \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ is an entangled state.

Postulate 3: Measurement



Measurement is **probabilistic** and **irreversible**.

Measure \implies Interaction \implies Transformation

Partial Measurement

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi\rangle &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |0x\rangle + \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |1x\rangle \\ &= |0\rangle \otimes \left(\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |x\rangle \right) + |1\rangle \otimes \left(\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |x\rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$



Partial Measurement

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi\rangle &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |0x\rangle + \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |1x\rangle \\ &= |0\rangle \otimes \left(\underbrace{\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |x\rangle}_{\alpha |\varphi_0\rangle} \right) + |1\rangle \otimes \left(\underbrace{\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |x\rangle}_{\beta |\varphi_1\rangle} \right) \end{aligned}$$




Partial Measurement

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi\rangle &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |0x\rangle + \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |1x\rangle \\ &= |0\rangle \otimes \underbrace{\left(\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |x\rangle \right)}_{\alpha |\varphi_0\rangle} + |1\rangle \otimes \underbrace{\left(\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |x\rangle \right)}_{\beta |\varphi_1\rangle} = \alpha |0\rangle \otimes |\varphi_0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_1\rangle \end{aligned}$$





Partial Measurement

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi\rangle &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |0x\rangle + \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |1x\rangle \\
 &= |0\rangle \otimes \left(\underbrace{\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |x\rangle}_{\alpha |\varphi_0\rangle} \right) + |1\rangle \otimes \left(\underbrace{\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |x\rangle}_{\beta |\varphi_1\rangle} \right) = \alpha |0\rangle \otimes |\varphi_0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_1\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$



Partial Measurement

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi\rangle &= \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |0x\rangle + \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |1x\rangle \\
 &= |0\rangle \otimes \underbrace{\left(\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{0x} |x\rangle \right)}_{\alpha |\varphi_0\rangle} + |1\rangle \otimes \underbrace{\left(\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1}} \alpha_{1x} |x\rangle \right)}_{\beta |\varphi_1\rangle} = \alpha |0\rangle \otimes |\varphi_0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle \otimes |\varphi_1\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$



Postulate 4: Closed System, a Unitary Evolution

Definition. An isolated system evolves

- linearly i.e., $U(\alpha |\varphi\rangle + \beta |\psi\rangle) = \alpha U(|\varphi\rangle) + \beta U(|\psi\rangle)$
- preserving the normalisation condition i.e., $\|U(|\varphi\rangle)\| = \| |\varphi\rangle \|$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} H &: |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &|1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$H(H(|0\rangle)) =$$

Postulate 4: Closed System, a Unitary Evolution

Definition. An isolated system evolves

- linearly i.e., $U(\alpha |\varphi\rangle + \beta |\psi\rangle) = \alpha U(|\varphi\rangle) + \beta U(|\psi\rangle)$
- preserving the normalisation condition i.e., $\|U(|\varphi\rangle)\| = \| |\varphi\rangle \|$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} H &: |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &|1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$H(H(|0\rangle)) = H\left(\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) =$$

Postulate 4: Closed System, a Unitary Evolution

Definition. An isolated system evolves

- linearly i.e., $U(\alpha |\varphi\rangle + \beta |\psi\rangle) = \alpha U(|\varphi\rangle) + \beta U(|\psi\rangle)$
- preserving the normalisation condition i.e., $\|U(|\varphi\rangle)\| = \| |\varphi\rangle \|$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} H &: |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &|1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$H(H(|0\rangle)) = H\left(\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{H(|0\rangle) + H(|1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} =$$

Postulate 4: Closed System, a Unitary Evolution

Definition. An isolated system evolves

- linearly i.e., $U(\alpha |\varphi\rangle + \beta |\psi\rangle) = \alpha U(|\varphi\rangle) + \beta U(|\psi\rangle)$
- preserving the normalisation condition i.e., $\|U(|\varphi\rangle)\| = \| |\varphi\rangle \|$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} H &: |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &|1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$H(H(|0\rangle)) = H\left(\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{H(|0\rangle) + H(|1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |0\rangle - |1\rangle}{2} =$$

Postulate 4: Closed System, a Unitary Evolution

Definition. An isolated system evolves

- linearly i.e., $U(\alpha |\varphi\rangle + \beta |\psi\rangle) = \alpha U(|\varphi\rangle) + \beta U(|\psi\rangle)$
- preserving the normalisation condition i.e., $\|U(|\varphi\rangle)\| = \| |\varphi\rangle \|$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} H &: |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &|1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$H(H(|0\rangle)) = H\left(\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{H(|0\rangle) + H(|1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |0\rangle - |1\rangle}{2} = |0\rangle$$

Postulate 4: Closed System, a Unitary Evolution

Definition. An isolated system evolves

- linearly i.e., $U(\alpha |\varphi\rangle + \beta |\psi\rangle) = \alpha U(|\varphi\rangle) + \beta U(|\psi\rangle)$
- preserving the normalisation condition i.e., $\|U(|\varphi\rangle)\| = \| |\varphi\rangle \|$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} H &: |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &|1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$H(H(|0\rangle)) = H\left(\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{H(|0\rangle) + H(|1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |0\rangle - |1\rangle}{2} = |0\rangle$$

$$H(H(|1\rangle)) = H\left(\frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{H(|0\rangle) - H(|1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle - |0\rangle + |1\rangle}{2} = |1\rangle$$

More Unitary Evolutions

$$\begin{array}{lll} X & : & |0\rangle \mapsto |1\rangle \\ & & |1\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} Z & : & |0\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \\ & & |1\rangle \mapsto -|1\rangle \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} H & : & |0\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ & & |1\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array}$$

$$R_z(\theta) : |0\rangle \mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle \mapsto e^{i\theta}|1\rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} CNot & : & |00\rangle \mapsto |00\rangle \\ & & |01\rangle \mapsto |01\rangle \\ & & |10\rangle \mapsto |11\rangle \\ & & |11\rangle \mapsto |10\rangle \end{array}$$

Parallel Composition

If U is applied to a subregister, and V is applied on the rest of the register, the overall evolution is $U \otimes V$ with:

$$(U \otimes V)(|\varphi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle) = (U|\varphi\rangle) \otimes (V|\psi\rangle)$$

Example:

$$(H \otimes H)|01\rangle =$$



When the state is entangled, one can use linearity:

$$\begin{aligned}(U \otimes V)\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= \frac{(U \otimes V)|00\rangle + (U \otimes V)|11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \frac{(U|0\rangle) \otimes (V|0\rangle) + (U|1\rangle) \otimes (V|1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}\end{aligned}$$

Parallel Composition

If U is applied to a subregister, and V is applied on the rest of the register, the overall evolution is $U \otimes V$ with:

$$(U \otimes V)(|\varphi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle) = (U|\varphi\rangle) \otimes (V|\psi\rangle)$$

Example:

$$(H \otimes H)|01\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes (H|1\rangle) = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle}{2}$$



When the state is entangled, one can use linearity:

$$\begin{aligned} (U \otimes V) \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= \frac{(U \otimes V)|00\rangle + (U \otimes V)|11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \frac{(U|0\rangle) \otimes (V|0\rangle) + (U|1\rangle) \otimes (V|1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Parallel Composition

If U is applied to a subregister, and V is applied on the rest of the register, the overall evolution is $U \otimes V$ with:

$$(U \otimes V)(|\varphi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle) = (U|\varphi\rangle) \otimes (V|\psi\rangle)$$

Example:

$$(H \otimes H)|01\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes (H|1\rangle) = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle}{2}$$



When the state is entangled, one can use linearity:

$$\begin{aligned} (U \otimes V) \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= \frac{(U \otimes V)|00\rangle + (U \otimes V)|11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \frac{(U|0\rangle) \otimes (V|0\rangle) + (U|1\rangle) \otimes (V|1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Matrix Notations

- $\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{0\dots 0} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{1\dots 1} \end{bmatrix}$
- A matrix U is unitary iff $U^\dagger U = UU^\dagger = I$, where U^\dagger is the adjoint of U
- $\begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} \otimes U = \begin{bmatrix} aU & cU \\ bU & dU \end{bmatrix}$

Dirac Notations

The state space of a quantum system is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} equipped with a inner product $\langle ., . \rangle$

A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of finite dimension d is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^d equipped with

the canonical inner product $\langle \vec{\varphi}, \vec{\psi} \rangle = \vec{\varphi}^\dagger \vec{\psi} =$

$$[\ \varphi_1^* \ \dots \ \varphi_d^*] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\langle \varphi | := |\varphi\rangle^\dagger$$

‘bra’

$$|\psi\rangle$$

‘ket’

Outline

Postulates

Quantum Circuits

1st Algo: Detecting fake coins with a quantum scale

2nd Algo: Deutsch-Jozsa

Representing quantum evolutions

$$(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) \circ (\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) \circ (\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix})$$


Representing quantum evolutions

$$(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) \circ (\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) \circ (\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix})$$


Quantum circuits: 2D representation

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{\mathcal{C}_1} \\ \vdots \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{\mathcal{C}_2} \\ \vdots \end{array} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{\mathcal{C}_2} \\ \vdots \end{array} \right) \circ \left(\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{\mathcal{C}_1} \\ \vdots \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{\mathcal{C}_1} \\ \vdots \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{\mathcal{C}_2} \\ \vdots \end{array} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{\mathcal{C}_1} \\ \vdots \end{array} \right) \otimes \left(\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \boxed{\mathcal{C}_2} \\ \vdots \end{array} \right)$$



Quantum Gates




$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|0\rangle &\mapsto |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$|x\rangle \mapsto |1-x\rangle$$


Quantum Gates



$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$|x\rangle \mapsto |1-x\rangle$$





$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto -|1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$|x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^x|x\rangle$$

Quantum Gates

	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$ 0\rangle \mapsto 1\rangle$ $ 1\rangle \mapsto 0\rangle$	$ x\rangle \mapsto 1-x\rangle$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$ 0\rangle \mapsto 0\rangle$ $ 1\rangle \mapsto - 1\rangle$	$ x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^x x\rangle$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$	$ 0\rangle \mapsto 0\rangle$ $ 1\rangle \mapsto e^{i\alpha} 1\rangle$	$ x\rangle \mapsto e^{ix\alpha} x\rangle$

Quantum Gates

$$\begin{array}{c} \square \\ X \end{array}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$
$$|x\rangle \mapsto |1-x\rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \square \\ Z \end{array}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto -|1\rangle \end{aligned}$$
$$|x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^x|x\rangle$$


$$\begin{array}{c} \square \\ R_\alpha \end{array}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto e^{i\alpha}|1\rangle \end{aligned}$$
$$|x\rangle \mapsto e^{ix\alpha}|x\rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \square \\ H \end{array}$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$
$$|x\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^x|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$


Quantum Gates



$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto |1\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \end{aligned}$$


$$|x\rangle \mapsto |1-x\rangle$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto -|1\rangle \end{aligned}$$


$$|x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^x|x\rangle$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto |0\rangle \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto e^{i\alpha}|1\rangle \end{aligned}$$


$$|x\rangle \mapsto e^{ix\alpha}|x\rangle$$



$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |1\rangle &\mapsto \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$|x\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^x|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |00\rangle &\mapsto |00\rangle \\ |01\rangle &\mapsto |01\rangle \\ |10\rangle &\mapsto |11\rangle \\ |11\rangle &\mapsto |10\rangle \end{aligned}$$


$$|x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$




XOR

Universality


Universality: Any unitary transformation acting on a finite number of qubits can be represented by a quantum circuit which gates are :



Approx. Universality: Any unitary transformation acting on a finite number of qubits can be approximated by a quantum circuit which gates are :




Quantum Circuits — Examples



$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$


Quantum Circuits — Examples



$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$|x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$


Quantum Circuits — Examples



$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} |x, y\rangle &\mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle \\ &\mapsto |x \oplus (x \oplus y), x \oplus y\rangle = |y, x \oplus y\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Quantum Circuits — Examples




$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$|x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$\mapsto |x \oplus (x \oplus y), x \oplus y\rangle = |y, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$\mapsto |y, y \oplus (x \oplus y)\rangle = |y, x\rangle$$

Quantum Circuits — Examples




$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$|x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$\mapsto |x \oplus (x \oplus y), x \oplus y\rangle = |y, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$\mapsto |y, y \oplus (x \oplus y)\rangle = |y, x\rangle$$


Quantum Circuits — Examples



$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$H = |x\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^x|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Quantum Circuits — Examples




$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$H = |x\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^x|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$|00\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |0\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Quantum Circuits — Examples



$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$

$$H = |x\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^x|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$|00\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |0\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\mapsto \frac{CNot|00\rangle + CNot|10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Quantum Circuits — Examples


$$\begin{array}{c} |0\rangle \xrightarrow{\quad H \quad} \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |0\rangle \xrightarrow{\quad CNot \quad} \end{array}$$

$$CNot = |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, x \oplus y\rangle$$
$$H = |x\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^x|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$


$$|00\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |0\rangle = \frac{|00\rangle + |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\mapsto \frac{CNot|00\rangle + CNot|10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$


Quantum Circuits - Toffoli



Quantum Circuits - Toffoli




Quantum Circuits - Toffoli




Quantum Circuits - Hadamard

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|0\rangle)$$




Quantum Circuits - Hadamard

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|0\rangle)$$




Quantum Circuits - Hadamard

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|0\rangle)$$




Quantum Circuits - Hadamard

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|0\rangle)$$



Quantum Circuits - Hadamard


$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|0\rangle)$$



$$= \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \{0,1\}} |y_1 \dots y_n\rangle$$

$$H_n |x_1 \dots x_n\rangle = (H|x_1\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|x_n\rangle)$$



$$= \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_1}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_n}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$


Quantum Circuits - Hadamard

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|0\rangle)$$


$$\begin{aligned} |x_1\rangle &\xrightarrow{\quad H \quad} &= \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |x_2\rangle &\xrightarrow{\quad H \quad} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \{0,1\}} |y_1 \dots y_n\rangle \\ &\vdots & \\ |x_{n-1}\rangle &\xrightarrow{\quad H \quad} & H_n |x_1 \dots x_n\rangle = (H|x_1\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|x_n\rangle) \\ |x_n\rangle &\xrightarrow{\quad H \quad} & = \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_1}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_n}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ && = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{\sum_i x_i y_i} |y_1 \dots y_n\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Quantum Circuits - Hadamard


$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = (H|0\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|0\rangle)$$




$$= \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$



$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \{0,1\}} |y_1 \dots y_n\rangle$$



$$H_n |x_1 \dots x_n\rangle = (H|x_1\rangle) \otimes \dots \otimes (H|x_n\rangle)$$




$$= \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_1}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_n}|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{\sum_i x_i y_i} |y_1 \dots y_n\rangle$$

$$H_n |\mathbf{x}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}} |\mathbf{y}\rangle \text{ where } \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_i x_i y_i$$


No Cloning

THM: There is no unitary transformation U such that $\forall |\phi\rangle$



No Cloning

THM: There is no unitary transformation U such that $\forall |\phi\rangle$




Proof: Assume U exists

$$U \left(\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |0\rangle \right) = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle}{2}$$

No Cloning

THM: There is no unitary transformation U such that $\forall |\phi\rangle$




Proof: Assume U exists

$$U\left(\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |0\rangle\right) = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle}{2}$$


$$U\left(\frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |0\rangle\right) = U\left(\frac{|00\rangle + |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{U|00\rangle + U|10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

No Cloning

THM: There is no unitary transformation U such that $\forall |\phi\rangle$




But, one can copy the basis states:




No Cloning

THM: There is no unitary transformation U such that $\forall |\phi\rangle$




But, one can copy the basis states:



Classical versus Quantum Circuits

Classical universality: Any boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ can be implemented by a (AND, NOT)-circuit.




Classical versus Quantum Circuits

Classical universality: Any boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ can be implemented by a (AND, NOT)-circuit.




Quantum extension: The quantum extension of a boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is the unitary transformation $V_f : |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, y \oplus f(x)\rangle$





Classical versus Quantum Circuits

Classical universality: Any boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ can be implemented by a (AND, NOT)-circuit.




Quantum extension: The quantum extension of a boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is the unitary transformation $V_f : |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, y \oplus f(x)\rangle$






Classical versus Quantum Circuits

Classical universality: Any boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ can be implemented by a (AND, NOT)-circuit.




Quantum extension: The quantum extension of a boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is the unitary transformation $V_f : |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, y \oplus f(x)\rangle$






Classical versus Quantum Circuits

Classical universality: Any boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ can be implemented by a (AND, NOT)-circuit.




Quantum extension: The quantum extension of a boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is the unitary transformation $V_f : |x, y\rangle \mapsto |x, y \oplus f(x)\rangle$




THM: if a boolean function $f : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ can be implemented by a boolean circuit of size s then V_f can be implemented by a quantum circuit of size $O(s)$.


Example




$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus xy\rangle$$



Example




$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus x_1x_2\rangle$$




$$C : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{x}_2, y \oplus x_1x_2\rangle$$

Example



$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$




$$C : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$


$$V_f \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |y\rangle \right) = \frac{V_f|00y\rangle + V_f|11y\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00y\rangle + |11(y \oplus 1)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$C \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |y\rangle \right) = \frac{C|00y\rangle + C|11y\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|000y\rangle + |111(y \oplus 1)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Example



$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$




$$C : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$


$$V_f \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |y\rangle \right) = \frac{V_f|00y\rangle + V_f|11y\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00y\rangle + |11(y \oplus 1)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$C \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |y\rangle \right) = \frac{C|00y\rangle + C|11y\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|000y\rangle + |111(y \oplus 1)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Example



$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$




$$C : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$


$$V_f \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |y\rangle \right) = \frac{V_f|00y\rangle + V_f|11y\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|00y\rangle + |11(y \oplus 1)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$C \left(\frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes |y\rangle \right) = \frac{C|00y\rangle + C|11y\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|000y\rangle + |111(y \oplus 1)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$


Example




$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus xy\rangle$$




Example




$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$




Example




$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$




Example




$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$



Example



$$V_f : |x_1, x_2, y\rangle \mapsto |x_1, x_2, y \oplus x_1 x_2\rangle$$



This circuit is an implementation of V_f


Another quantum extension

Quantum extensions of a boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$:




Another quantum extension

Quantum extensions of a boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$:



Another quantum extension

Quantum extensions of a boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$:




$$|x,1\rangle \xrightarrow{H} \frac{|x,0\rangle - |x,1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{V_f} \frac{|x,0 \oplus f(x)\rangle - |x,1 \oplus f(x)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$H = |y\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^y |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Another quantum extension

Quantum extensions of a boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$:




$$|x,1\rangle \xrightarrow{H} \frac{|x,0\rangle - |x,1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{V_f} \frac{|x,0 \oplus f(x)\rangle - |x,1 \oplus f(x)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$H = |y\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^y|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{H} \frac{|x,0\rangle + (-1)^{f(x)}|x,1\rangle - (|x,0\rangle + (-1)^{1 \oplus f(x)}|x,1\rangle)}{2}$$

Another quantum extension

Quantum extensions of a boolean function $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$:



$$|x,1\rangle \xrightarrow{H} \frac{|x,0\rangle - |x,1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{V_f} \frac{|x,0 \oplus f(x)\rangle - |x,1 \oplus f(x)\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$H = |y\rangle \mapsto \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^y|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{H} \frac{|x,0\rangle + (-1)^{f(x)}|x,1\rangle - (|x,0\rangle + (-1)^{1 \oplus f(x)}|x,1\rangle)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{|x,0\rangle + (-1)^{f(x)}|x,1\rangle - |x,0\rangle + (-1)^{f(x)}|x,1\rangle}{2} = (-1)^{f(x)}|x,1\rangle$$

Outline

Postulates

Quantum Circuits


1st Algo: Detecting fake coins with a quantum scale

2nd Algo: Deutsch-Jozsa

Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins




A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins




A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Detecting fake coins



A true coin weighs 8g,
a fake 7.5g.



Mathematical modelling



\leftrightarrow 0 1 0 0 1 0

A subset of n coins

\leftrightarrow a binary word of size n

Let $a \in \{0,1\}^n$ be the set of **fake** coins

Mathematical modelling



\leftrightarrow 0 1 0 0 1 0

A subset of n coins

\leftrightarrow a binary word of size n

Let $a \in \{0,1\}^n$ be the set of **fake** coins

A weighing is described by a function $f_a : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ which associates with every subset x of coins, the parity $f_a(x)$ of fake coins in x .

$$f_a(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i \bmod 2 = x \bullet a$$

How to (classically) identify the fake coins among n?

- Greedy algorithm:
-> Weighing coins one by one: **n Weighings**
- Better algorithm?



How to (classically) identify the fake coins among n?

- Greedy algorithm:
-> Weighing coins one by one: **n Weighings**
- Better algorithm?



No, the greedy algorithm is optimal

How to (classically) identify the fake coins among n?

- Greedy algorithm:
-> Weighing coins one by one: **n Weighings**
- Better algorithm?




No, the greedy algorithm is optimal


Intuition:

- Need (at least) n bits to describe the solution (because 2^n possible answers).
- Each weighing gives a single bit of information ("0" or ".5")
- So at least n weighing are necessary


Digression : Tare weight




Digression : Tare weight




Digression : Tare weight



- **even** number of fake coins




Digression : Tare weight




- **even** number of fake coins

Screen does **not** change




Digression : Tare weight




- **even** number of fake coins


Screen does **not** change



- **odd** number of fake coins




Digression : Tare weight




- **even** number of fake coins

Screen does **not** change




- **odd** number of fake coins

Screen does change



Quantum scale

(disclaimer: this is a thought experiment)




- if **even** number of fake coins:

$$\left| \text{coins} \right\rangle \left(\frac{\left| \cdot .0 \right\rangle - \left| \cdot .5 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{\left| \text{coins} \right\rangle \left| \cdot .0 \right\rangle - \left| \text{coins} \right\rangle \left| \cdot .5 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow$$

Quantum scale

(disclaimer: this is a thought experiment)




- if **even** number of fake coins:

$$\left| \text{fake} \right\rangle \left(\frac{\left| .0 \right\rangle - \left| .5 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{\left| \text{fake} \right\rangle \left| .0 \right\rangle - \left| \text{fake} \right\rangle \left| .5 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{\left| \text{fake} \right\rangle \left| .0 \right\rangle - \left| \text{fake} \right\rangle \left| .5 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Quantum scale

(disclaimer: this is a thought experiment)




- if **even** number of fake coins:

$$|\text{fake coin}\rangle \left(\frac{|.0\rangle - |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{fake coin}\rangle |.0\rangle - |\text{fake coin}\rangle |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|\text{fake coin}\rangle |.0\rangle - |\text{fake coin}\rangle |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = |\text{fake coin}\rangle \left(\frac{|.0\rangle - |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

Quantum scale

(disclaimer: this is a thought experiment)



- if **even** number of fake coins:


$$|\text{coins}\rangle \left(\frac{|\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{coins}\rangle |\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{coins}\rangle |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|\text{coins}\rangle |\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{coins}\rangle |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = |\text{coins}\rangle \left(\frac{|\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

- if **odd** number of fake coins:

$$|\text{coins}\rangle \left(\frac{|\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{coins}\rangle |\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{coins}\rangle |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow$$

Quantum scale

(disclaimer: this is a thought experiment)



- if **even** number of fake coins:


$$|\text{€}\rangle \left(\frac{|\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{€}\rangle |\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{€}\rangle |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|\text{€}\rangle |\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{€}\rangle |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = |\text{€}\rangle \left(\frac{|\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

- if **odd** number of fake coins:

$$|\text{€}\rangle \left(\frac{|\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{€}\rangle |\text{.0}\rangle - |\text{€}\rangle |\text{.5}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|\text{€}\rangle |\text{.5}\rangle - |\text{€}\rangle |\text{.0}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Quantum scale

(disclaimer: this is a thought experiment)



- if **even** number of fake coins:


$$|\text{fake}\rangle \left(\frac{|\dots\rangle - |\dots\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{fake}\rangle |\dots\rangle - |\text{fake}\rangle |\dots\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|\text{fake}\rangle |\dots\rangle - |\text{fake}\rangle |\dots\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = |\text{fake}\rangle \left(\frac{|\dots\rangle - |\dots\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

- if **odd** number of fake coins:

$$|\text{fake}\rangle \left(\frac{|\dots\rangle - |\dots\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{fake}\rangle |\dots\rangle - |\text{fake}\rangle |\dots\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|\text{fake}\rangle |\dots\rangle - |\text{fake}\rangle |\dots\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = -|\text{fake}\rangle \left(\frac{|\dots\rangle - |\dots\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

Quantum scale

(disclaimer: this is a thought experiment)



- if **even** number of fake coins:


$$|\text{coins}\rangle \left(\frac{|.0\rangle - |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{coins}\rangle |.0\rangle - |\text{coins}\rangle |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|\text{coins}\rangle |.0\rangle - |\text{coins}\rangle |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = |\text{coins}\rangle \left(\frac{|.0\rangle - |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

- if **odd** number of fake coins:

$$|\text{coins}\rangle \left(\frac{|.0\rangle - |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{|\text{coins}\rangle |.0\rangle - |\text{coins}\rangle |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \frac{|\text{coins}\rangle |.5\rangle - |\text{coins}\rangle |.0\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = -|\text{coins}\rangle \left(\frac{|.0\rangle - |.5\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$


Quantum scale

(disclaimer: this is a thought experiment)



$$|x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{f_a(x)} |x\rangle = (-1)^{x \cdot a} |x\rangle$$

Bernstein-Vazirani Algorithm



$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle$$


weigh. $U_{f_a} : |x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle$

Hadamard $H_n : |y\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet y} |x\rangle$

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle$$

$$H_n \circ H_n = I$$

Bernstein-Vazirani Algorithm



weighing

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle$$


weigh. $U_{f_a} : |x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle$

Hadamard $H_n : |y\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet y} |x\rangle$

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle$$

$$H_n \circ H_n = I$$

Bernstein-Vazirani Algorithm



weighing

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle$$


weigh. $U_{f_a} : |x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle$

Hadamard $H_n : |y\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet y} |x\rangle$

$$H_n |0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle$$

$$H_n \circ H_n = I$$

Bernstein-Vazirani Algorithm



weighing

$$H_n|0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \quad \mapsto \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle = H_n|a\rangle$$


weigh. $U_{f_a} : |x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle$

Hadamard $H_n : |y\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet y} |x\rangle$

$$H_n|0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle$$

$$H_n \circ H_n = I$$

Bernstein-Vazirani Algorithm



weighing

$$H_n|0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \quad \mapsto \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle = H_n|a\rangle \quad \mapsto \quad H_n H_n|a\rangle = |a\rangle$$

weigh. $U_{f_a} : |x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{x \bullet a} |x\rangle$

Hadamard $H_n : |y\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \bullet y} |x\rangle$

$$H_n|0\dots0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle$$

$$H_n \circ H_n = I$$

Detecting Fake Coins: Bernstein-Vazirani

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ s.t. $\exists a \in \{0, 1\}^n, f(x) = x \bullet a = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i \bmod 2$.

Problem: Find $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$.

Classical algorithm: n calls to f are necessary and sufficient.

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .



Outline

Postulates

Quantum Circuits

1st Algo: Detecting fake coins with a quantum scale

2nd Algo: Deutsch-Jozsa

Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm:

Quantum algorithm:

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 → constant

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 → constant

0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 → balanced

0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

 → balanced

Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm:

Quantum algorithm:

0		0	0				0
---	--	---	---	--	--	--	---

 → ?

0		0	0			0	0
---	--	---	---	--	--	----------	---

 → constant

0		0	0			1	0
---	--	---	---	--	--	----------	---

 → balanced

Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm:

0		0	0				0
---	--	---	---	--	--	--	---

 → ?

0		0	0			0	0
---	--	---	---	--	--	----------	---

 → constant

0		0	0			1	0
---	--	---	---	--	--	----------	---

 → balanced

Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .


Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .




Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .



$$\begin{array}{lcl} |0^n\rangle & \mapsto^{H_n} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \\ & \mapsto^{U_f} & \\ & \mapsto^{H_n} & \end{array}$$


Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .



$$\begin{array}{lcl} |0^n\rangle & \xmapsto{H_n} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \\ & \xmapsto{U_f} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle \\ & \xmapsto{H_n} & \end{array}$$


Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .



$$\begin{aligned} |0^n\rangle &\xmapsto{H_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \\ &\xmapsto{U_f} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle \\ &\xmapsto{H_n} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x,y \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \end{aligned}$$


Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .



$$\begin{aligned} |0^n\rangle &\xmapsto{H_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \\ &\xmapsto{U_f} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle \\ &\xmapsto{H_n} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x,y \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Amplitude of $|0^n\rangle$ is $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)}$


Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .



$$\begin{aligned} |0^n\rangle &\xmapsto{H_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle \\ &\xmapsto{U_f} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle \\ &\xmapsto{H_n} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x,y \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Amplitude of $|0^n\rangle$ is $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{f(x)}$

- If f is balanced, $\alpha_0 = 0 \implies$ never measure 0^n .
- Si f est constante, $\alpha_0 = \pm 1 \implies$ always measure 0^n .

Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .

Bounded error algorithm:

0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

Promise: $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is either constant or balanced ($|f^{-1}(0)| = |f^{-1}(1)|$)

Problem: decide whether f is constant or balanced.

Classical algorithm: requires $N/2+1$ calls to f with $N=2^n$

Quantum algorithm: 1 call to U_f .

Bounded error algorithm: $O(1)$ calls to f .

0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---